

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

## PATENT APPLICATION FEE DETERMINATION RECORD

Substitute for Form PTO-875

Application or Docket Number:

10/690493

CLAIMS AS FILED - PART I

(Column 1)

(Column 2)

SMALL ENTITY

OR

OTHER THAN  
SMALL ENTITY

FOR	NUMBER FILED	NUMBER EXTRA
BASIC FEE (31 CFR 1.10(a))		
TOTAL CLAIMS (31 CFR 1.10(c))	minus 20 *	*
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS (31 CFR 1.10(b))	minus 3 *	*
MAXIMUM OF PLURANT CLAIM PRESENT (31 CFR 1.16(d))		

RATE	FEE
	\$
X \$	
X \$	
X \$	
TOTAL	

SMALL ENTITY	
RATE	FEE
	\$.
X 1 . . . . .	
• 1 . . . . .	
• 1 . . . . .	
• 1 . . . . .	
TOTAL	

\* If the difference in column 1 is less than zero, enter '0' in column 2

CLAIMS AS AMENDED - PART II

(Column 1)

(Column 2)

(Column 3)

SMALL ENTITY

(314)

OTHER THAN  
SMALL ENTITY

AMENDMENT A	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA
Total (37 CFR § 1.642 II)	2	Minus	23
Independent (37 CFR § 1.642 II)	1	Minus	3

FIRST FIRST SERIALIZED OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM (37 CFR § 1.642 II)

NAME	ADDITIONAL FEE
A \$ _____	
A \$ _____	
A \$ _____	
TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE	

SMALL ENTITY	
RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
1 \$ _____	
2 \$ _____	
3 \$ _____	
TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE	

AMENDMENT B	(Column 1)	(Column 2)	(Column 3)
	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA
Total 137 CLAIMS	1	minus	1
Independent 137 CLAIMS	1	minus	1
FIRST INDEPENDENT OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM (137 CLAIMS)			

RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
1 1/2	
1 1/2	
1 1/2	
TOTAL	
400.00	

RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
A \$ 2	
B \$ 2	
C \$ 2	
10141	ADDITIONAL FEE

(Column 1)	(Column 2)	(Column 3)
CLARIFICATION REMARKS AFTER ASSESSMENT	HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRE SENT FEE

FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAW (3) (C) (1) (b)(i)

RA1{	ADD: ISORA FEE
1 \$ _____	
2 \$ _____	
3 \$ _____	
TOTAL	ADD: FEE

RATE	ADDITIONAL
1.5%	
2.5%	
4.5%	
TOTAL	

<sup>1</sup> If  $\Gamma$  is not a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module, then the proof of Proposition 2.1 is false. For example, let  $\Gamma$  be the group of integers.

<sup>10</sup> H. P. B. Gosselin, *Journal of Paleontology*, **23** (1949), 594-601, page 597.

© 1997 Blackwell Science Ltd, *Journal of Internal Medicine* 241: 395–401

The  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  values of Process 1, Part 1 of (10) are independent of the highest number tested in the appropriate process, i.e.,

[illegible]